Reviewing the evidence on population growth resulting from provision of new homes in Middlesbrough

November 2022

Opinion Research Services The Strand, Swansea SA1 1AF 01792 535300 info@ors.org.uk | www.ors.org.uk

Opinion Research Services Ltd is registered in England and Wales Company number 2904006 | VAT number 647 7177 02

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS

Natural England has taken an assumption that each new home will result in an extra 2.4 persons resident in the local area

Middlesbrough Council commissioned ORS to consider the weight to be placed on that assumption and prepare an evidence-based review of the relationship between population growth and provision of new homes

The review first considered the relationship nationally, and then considered relevant details about the local circumstances in Middlesbrough

Based on the evidence, ORS concluded that the annual change in dwelling stock has very limited influence on annual population nationally – building either more or less homes across England is unlikely to result in higher or lower population growth

There is a clear correlation between the change in population and dwelling stock by local area: larger increases in stock tended to result in larger increases in population, lower increases in stock resulted in lower population increases

Four fifths of all local areas had an average that was lower than the Natural England assumption of 2.4 persons

The resident population living in Middlesbrough increased by 5,400 persons over the intercensal period 2011 to 2021 and the stock increased by 4,400 dwellings, equivalent to an average gain of 1.25 persons per dwelling across the whole area

Further analysis of the population data demonstrated that a baseline of new housing provision was needed to accommodate changes to the local population, with further provision enabling migrant population to move to the area

As a consequence, the relationship between population growth and the provision of new homes is non-linear, and when considering the evidence for Middlesbrough the average number of persons per dwelling is sensitive to the overall rate of delivery

The provision of 4,400 dwellings resulted in a gain of 1,000 extra residents living in dwellings in Middlesbrough: an average of 0.23 persons per dwelling – however, this figure depends on the overall number of new homes that are provided

On balance, the highest 5-year average of dwelling delivery over 30 years provides a reasonable upper-end estimate, with yields an average of **0.6 persons per dwelling**


This provides an appropriate evidence-based local figure for Middlesbrough

Setting the Context

- ^{1.} Middlesbrough Council has commissioned Opinion Research Services (ORS) to undertake an evidence-based review of the relationship between population growth and the provision of new homes. More specifically, the Council want to understand the weight that can be placed on the assumption taken by Natural England that each new home will result in an extra 2.4 persons resident in the area.
- ^{2.} Given that context, the review first establishes the relationship nationally, and then considers the local circumstances.


New Homes and Population Growth in England

- ^{3.} Data from the Census shows that the population for England increased from 53.0 million to 56.5 million persons over the 10-year period 2011-2021, with a growth of 3.48 million persons over the period. Over the same period, Government data confirms that the dwelling stock increased from 23.0 million to 24.9 million homes, with an additional 1.90 million dwellings provided over the period. Given this context, we can conclude that there was an average of 1.83 persons for each additional dwelling provided at a national level across England.
- ^{4.} The rate of population growth over the decade 2011-2021 was lower than the previous intercensal period 2001-2011 (3.48 cf. 3.87 million) whilst the number of new homes provided was higher (1.90 cf. 1.77 million). As a consequence, there was an average of 2.19 persons for each additional dwelling provided nationally over the period 2001-2011.
- ^{5.} Whilst the Census is considered to provide the most robust estimate of population growth, the Office for National Statistics (ONS) publish estimates of population growth each year. The following charts show the annual changes each year over the period 2001 to 2020.
- Fig 1 Annual Population and Dwelling Change for England 2001 to 2020 (Source: Mid-year Population Estimates, ONS; Net additional dwellings by local authority district, Live Table 122)



Annual Population and Dwelling Change: England 2001-2020

- ^{6.} The data shows that there was a notable increase in population growth from 2004-2005 which was the year in which the A10 accession countries joined the European Union. This higher rate of growth was broadly sustained until 2015-2016, but there have been lower rates of growth since the Brexit referendum in 2016 and more recently as a result of the Covid pandemic.
- ^{7.} Dwelling delivery increased over the decade from 2001, but progressively reduced following the financial crisis in 2008. Since the introduction of the National Planning Policy Framework in 2012, the number of new homes delivered annually has increased year-on-year.
- ^{8.} Considering the data on an annual basis, the number of persons for each additional dwelling peaked at an average of 3.38 persons in 2010-2011, but the latest data shows a notably lower average of 1.08 persons for 2019-2020. On the basis of data, we can therefore conclude that the relationship between housing delivery and population growth varies over time, even at a national level.
- ^{9.} The following chart plots the relationship between annual population and dwelling change. The R² value identifies the strength of correlation between the figures. An R² value of 1.0 means that they are perfectly correlated, whereas an R² value of 0.0 means that there is no correlation. The relationship between annual population and dwelling change for England for the 19 years 2001-2002 to 2019-2020 has an R² value of 0.03 which means that there is extremely limited correlation between the figures.
- Fig 2 Relationship between Annual Population and Dwelling Change for England 2001 to 2020 (Source: Midyear Population Estimates, ONS; Net additional dwellings by local authority district, Live Table 122)




Relationship between Population and Dwelling Change

Annual Dwelling Change

- ^{10.} Given this context, we can conclude that the annual change in dwelling stock has very limited influence on annual change in population at a national level in other words, building more new homes is unlikely to result in higher population growth nationally across England, and building fewer new homes is unlikely to result in lower population growth nationally.
- ^{11.} However, the evidence demonstrates that the average number of persons for each additional dwelling provided nationally has ranged from a minimum of 1.08 persons to a maximum of 3.38 persons each year over the period 2001-2020, with a median (mid-point) of 1.94 persons. Therefore, even if it was possible to assume that population growth was dependent on the number of new homes provided, the evidence does not support the Natural England assumption that each new home will result in an extra 2.4 persons resident.
- ^{12.} Census data identifies an average of 1.83 additional persons for each additional dwelling provided over the last decade at a national level across England, whereas the median annual figure identifies an average of 1.94 persons for each additional dwelling. The Natural England assumption of 2.4 persons on average is between 24% and 31% higher than the data for England.


New Homes and Population Growth by Local Area

- ^{13.} Whilst the number of new homes has a very limited influence on the population growth from year-to-year at a national level, it may still influence the geographic distribution of where the population are usually resident. It is therefore appropriate to consider the data for local areas.
- Fig 3 Relationship between percentage Population and Dwelling Change for Local Areas in England 2011-21 (Source: Total population, Census; Net additional dwellings by local authority district, Live Table 122)



Population and Dwelling Change by Local Area 2011-2021

- ^{14.} The relationship between the percentage population change and the percentage dwelling stock change for each local authority area over the 10-year period 2011 to 2021 has an R² value of 0.53 which means that there is correlation between these two figures. Local areas that had a larger proportionate increase in dwellings stock tended also had a larger proportionate increase in resident population, whilst those with a smaller proportionate increase in dwellings had a smaller proportionate increase in resident population.
- ^{15.} When considering the number of additional persons for each additional dwelling provided over the last decade for each local authority area, the average ranges from a gain of 5.80 persons per additional dwelling to a loss of 5.72 persons per dwelling – albeit that relatively few areas (18 out of 309) recorded a loss of population, and none recorded a loss of dwelling stock. The figure for the median area shows an average gain of 1.74 persons for each additional dwelling. Just over a fifth of all local areas (65 out of 309) had an average gain of 2.4 persons or more (i.e. the gain assumed by Natural England for all additional dwellings) whereas almost four fifths of all local areas (244 out of 309) had an average gain below this number of persons.
- Fig 4 Relationship between Annual Population and Dwelling Change for England 2001 to 2020 (Source: Midyear Population Estimates, ONS; Net additional dwellings by local authority district, Live Table 122)



Number of additional persons per dwelling by Local Area

^{16.} Data from the Census shows that the population for Middlesbrough increased from 138.4 thousand to 143.9 thousand persons over the 10-year period 2011-2021, an increase of 5,400 persons over the decade. Over the same period, the dwelling stock increased from 60.0 thousand to 64.4 thousand homes, with an additional 4,400 thousand dwellings provided. We can therefore conclude that there was an average of 1.25 persons for each additional dwelling provided over the decade in the Middlesbrough local authority area.

Components of Population Change

- ^{17.} Reviewing the more detailed ONS population estimates for Middlesbrough over the 10-year period 2011-2021 the data shows that there was a total of 19,100 births and 14,700 deaths recorded in the area. This suggests that natural population change (i.e. births minus deaths) led to an increase of 4,400 persons over the period.
- ^{18.} As overall population growth totalled 5,400 persons and natural population change led to 4,400 additional residents, we can conclude that there was a net gain of around 1,000 persons moving from elsewhere to dwellings in the area.
- ^{19.} We can therefore conclude that over the decade 2011-2021, the <u>provision of 4,400 dwellings</u> resulted in a total gain of <u>1,000 additional residents</u> in Middlesbrough: which represents an average gain of <u>0.230 persons per dwelling</u>.
- ^{20.} Evidently, this is well below the Natural England assumption that each new home will result in an extra 2.4 persons resident in the area. Nevertheless, it is important to recognise that this is not the number of residents occupying new homes. Instead, it is the number of extra persons that will live in the area as a result of new homes being provided.

Changes to the Local Population

- ^{21.} Many of the people occupying new homes would have already been residents living within the local area. In choosing to move to a new home, they would not have added to the number of people living in the area. The provision of new dwellings simply allowed the same residents to live in a different home. Had these new homes not been provided, it is unlikely that this would have had any material impact on the natural population change there would still have been broadly the same number of births and deaths recorded over the decade, and therefore the same number of residents. However, those residents would have lived in larger households.
- ^{22.} Without any new dwelling provision, the increase in the resident population resulting from natural growth would have led to the average number of persons per dwelling increasing from 2.309 persons (based on the 2011 Census) to an average of 2.381 persons by 2021 but the provision of new homes led to the average reducing to 2.236 persons over the 10-year period.
- ^{23.} This reduction in the average is consistent with long-term national and local trends, largely due to population ageing. Many older persons tend to live as couples or single person households, and this increase in one- and two-person households results in a fall to the average size overall. The death of elderly household members over the decade would have led to many existing households getting smaller, but additional homes were still needed for new households to form. Without those additional homes, the number of concealed households would have increased resulting in more larger households, including some that would have been overcrowded.
- ^{24.} To accommodate the natural change in population and allow the ratio of persons to dwellings to reduce required around 3,950 homes to be provided without any extra residents living in the area. This is almost 90% of all new homes delivered over the decade.

Residents of New Homes

- ^{25.} Information about the initial occupiers of new homes on a number of development sites confirms that most households were already living in the local area.
- ^{26.} Data provided to the Council by individual developers and agents about the previous postcodes of residents on three separate sites identified that more than 90% of dwellings were occupied by people moving within the Tees catchment area.
- Fig 5 Previous addresses of movers to recent new developments (Source: Information provided by individual developers and agents)


		Development A	Development B	Development C
Total dwellings on site		160	77	-
Number where previous postcode known		141	40	245
Postcodes within Tees catchment	Number	130	37	223
	Percentage	92%	93%	91%

- ^{27.} On this basis, it is evident that only around one-in-twelve of the homes across each of these three sites were occupied by residents new to the area.
- ^{28.} Of course, any households that were already established would have vacated their previous home at the time that they moved to the new home. Some of those existing properties could subsequently have been occupied by residents moving to the area from elsewhere but data from the Survey of English Housing confirms that households migrating between areas typically represent a higher proportion of moves to new homes than moves to existing properties.
- ^{29.} Therefore, when considering moves to the existing stock, fewer than one-in-twelve households are likely to have moved from outside the area.
- ^{30.} Based on both the evidence from the demographic analysis and the information from developers, we can therefore conclude that the substantial majority of moves are likely to be local households moving within the area so many new homes will not result in any extra residents living in the area.


Impact of New Homes on the Population

- ^{31.} The relationship between the provision of new homes and the number of extra residents in the area will fundamentally depend on population change resulting from net migration; but there is a non-linear relationship between population growth and the provision of new homes.
- ^{32.} We have previously identified that 4,400 dwellings were provided over the decade 2011-2021, and of these around 3,950 were needed to accommodate the local population, thereby reducing the ratio of persons to dwellings. It is reasonable to conclude that the remaining 450 dwellings would have enabled migrant population to move to the area, thereby increasing the net change in population and resulting in extra persons resident in the area (Fig 6).





- ^{33.} The consequence of the non-linearity of the relationship is that the number of additional persons per dwelling will vary dependent on the total number of dwellings that are provided. This relationship is illustrated in the following chart, which demonstrates that the higher the number of dwellings provided, the higher the gain in terms of the average number of additional persons per dwelling (Fig 7).
- Fig 7 Average net additional persons per dwelling by overall dwelling provision over a 10-year period for Middlesbrough based on trends from 2011 to 2021



Average net additional persons per dwelling by overall provision

- ^{34.} Whilst the precise number of additional persons is likely to vary to some extent based on the number of dwellings provided, the primary conclusion is that over the decade 2011 to 2021, the <u>overall provision of 4,400 dwellings</u> resulted in a total gain of <u>1,000 additional residents</u> in Middlesbrough: an average gain of <u>0.230 persons per dwelling</u>.
- ^{35.} In terms of the variance, we can infer that had the number of net additional dwellings been 10% lower than were actually delivered (an average of around 400 dwellings per annum) then the gain would have averaged 0.007 persons per dwelling (equivalent to a reduction of 97%) whereas had the number of net additions been 10% higher than delivered in practice (an average of around 485 dwellings per annum) then the gain would have averaged 0.412 persons per dwelling (equivalent to an increase of 79%). On this basis, we can conclude that the average number of persons is relatively sensitive to the overall rate of housing delivery.
- ^{36.} Over the period from 1991-92 to 2021-22, net dwelling additions have ranged from an annual loss of 251 dwellings to an annual gain of 678 dwellings. When considering periods of sustained dwelling delivery, the highest 10-year average over the period was 490 dpa and the highest 5-year average was 544 dpa, which yield gains of 0.43 persons per dwelling and 0.61 persons per dwelling respectively.
- ^{37.} Evidently, both fall well below the Natural England assumption that each new home will result in an extra 2.4 persons resident in the area, but they also clearly illustrate that assuming a rate of 0.23 persons per dwelling could underestimate the number of extra persons resident in the area depending on future rates of housing provision.
- ^{38.} Given this context, it is necessary to take a judgement about the realistic future rate of delivery when determining the most appropriate assumption to use for the number of extra persons resident in the area following the provision of new homes. On balance, we would consider the highest 5-year average of dwelling delivery based on past trends for over 30 years to provide a reasonable upper-end estimate, with results in an average of 0.6 persons per dwelling.
- ^{39.} On this basis, we would recommend that it is assumed that each new home provided in Middlesbrough will result in up to an extra <u>0.6 persons</u> resident in the area.